Extended Half-life rFVIII for the Treatment of Hemophilia A: Drugs Consumption and Patients’ Perspective
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ABSTRACT
Prophylaxis is recognized as the most effective treatment regimen for patients with severe hemophilia A. Prophylaxis with standard half-life (SHL) FVIII products requires frequent intravenous administrations, at least two-three times per week. Frequency of injections is reported as one of the major obstacles to adherence to treatment and caused impairment in quality of life (QoL) and possible clinical implications. The extended half-life (EHL) rFVIII products recently reimbursed by Italian National Health Service (NHS), give the possibility of prophylactic dosage regimens characterized by reduced administration frequency with the potential to increase adherence to therapy and to improve QoL and clinical outcomes. Based on the approved dosing regimens the minimum and maximum number of administrations per year and the annual consumption for the marketed EHL rFVIII products were estimated. Compared to Adynovi® and Elocta®, Jivi® is the drug associated with the lowest number of administrations per year while versus Esperoct® is associated to a slightly higher maximum number of administrations per year. Furthermore, Jivi® has an annual mean consumption per kg lower than Adynovi®, Elocta® and Esperoct® (-24%, -27% and -22%, respectively). The contemporary reduction of number of injections per year and the lower annual mean consumption (IU) represent important benefits for the patient. From the economic point of view, at the prices published in Italian Official Journal, Jivi® weighted average annual expenditure per patient is 26% lower than Adynovi® and 29% lower than Elocta® and a saving is possible even considering a 10% increase in Jivi®’s price per IU versus comparators. Among the EHL rFVIII concentrates Jivi® appears to be more suitable to cover patients’ needs due to the possibility to adopt different dosage regimens (up to every 7 days) and is associated with the lowest average annual consumption per patient allowing a more predictable budget forecast and overall reducing the NHS expenditure.
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INTRODUCTION
Hemophilia A is a rare, congenital, X-linked bleeding disorder caused by an absence or deficiency of clotting factor VIII (FVIII) [1]. The worldwide incidence of hemophilia A is approximately 1 case per 5,000 males, with approximately one third of affected individuals not having a family history of the disorder. The prevalence of hemophilia A varies with the reporting country, with a range of 5.4-14.5 cases per 100,000 males [2].

The symptoms consist of more or less severe hemorrhages, as a result of trauma, surgical procedures, or apparently spontaneous internal bleeding. This one most likely occurs into the joints (hemarthrosis), where over the long-term it leads to progressive and irreversible hemophilic arthropathy, but may also occurs into muscles, soft tissues and other sites (e.g. central nervous system) with potentially life-threatening consequences and not least drastic impairment in the individual’s quality of life (QoL) [1]. The severity of bleeding phenotype depends on the extent of FVIII deficiency, or rather on the residual amount of FVIII plasma concentration, which classifies the disease as mild (FVIII ranges between 6 and 40 IU/dL), moderate (FVIII from 1 to 5 IU/dL), and severe (FVIII <1 IU/dL) [1].
The main treatment option for hemophilia A patients is a replacement therapy based on intravenous administration of FVIII concentrates. Two strategies are available: on-demand treatment, consisting in the treatment of an ongoing hemorrhage, or prophylaxis, consisting in long-term, regular infusions in order to prevent bleedings [1,3-5]. FVIII concentrates can be either plasma-derived or recombinant and recombinant FVIII (rFVIII) concentrates may exhibit standard (SHL) or extended half-life (EHL). The present analysis aims to identify possible advantages associated to the prophylactic use of EHL rFVIII products in the treatment of severe hemophilia A.

**UNMET MEDICAL NEED**

Prophylaxis is recognized as the most effective treatment regimen in order to prevent spontaneous hemarthroses, preserve healthy joints, reduce long-term hemophilic arthropathy and improve QoL. Nevertheless, it can show some critical issues resulting from the main characteristics of the SHL rFVIII concentrates.

Due to an average FVIII half-life of about 8-12 hours [1], prophylaxis with SHL FVIII products requires frequent intravenous administrations, at least two-three times per week on non-consecutive days, or every other day [6-8]. It had been demonstrated that the burden of frequent injections related to prophylaxis with SHL rFVIII concentrates was one of the major obstacles to adherence to treatment and caused impairment in QoL both in adolescents and adults [9,10]. EHL rFVIII products, giving the possibility of prophylactic dosage regimens characterized by reduced administration frequency, can favor the prophylaxis over the on-demand treatment, increase adherence to therapy and improve QoL [11].

A recent survey based on expert meeting and focus groups across Germany, Austria and Switzerland on adult hemophilia patients and parents of hemophilic children was conducted in order to better understand patients’ expectations and concerns regarding EHL concentrates [12]. 743 adults and 262 parents of children affected by hemophilia participated to the survey; the majority of patients had hemophilia A (84.5%), were severely affected (73.7%), received regular prophylaxis (57%) and used a recombinant product (60.2%).

About 26% of patients/parents with hemophilia A were not aware regarding the correct half-life of their rFVIII concentrate. Expectations from new EHL products were less frequent injections (55.2%), better efficacy (32.1%) and safety, with no side effects (15.7%). Even if patients were satisfied about their current treatment, overall the 59.5% of patients would be willing to switch to EHL products if they have the same safety profile of the current treatment. The main reason for willingness to switch to new EHL concentrates was prolonged dosing interval (87.1%), while reasons for not willing to switch were fear of inhibitor development (71.4%) and concerns on uncertain safety (60.9%). In order to decide for the switch patients and parents would like to receive more information about half-life (84.4%), possible side effects (81.3%) and efficacy (77%).

Mahlangu et al. [13], analyzed the published evidences of the new and upcoming rFVIII products in order to identify and propose a clear definition for the classification of “EHL rFVIII”. Based on the proposed criteria (designed with technology to extend circulating biological half-life; demonstration of difference from a standard rFVIII comparator for the majority of patients according to proposed “biodifference” criteria based on the lower limit of the 90% CI for the area under the curve ratio being above the Food and Drug Administration – FDA/European Medicines Agency – EMA cut-off for bioequivalence, 1.25 or 125%; having a half-life ratio of 1.3 or higher, based on modelling), Adynovi® (Baxalta Innovations GmbH), Elocta® (Swedish Orphan Biovitrum AB), Esperoct® (Novo Nordisk A/S) and Jivi® (Bayer AG) were identified as the only currently approved EMA drugs that can be considered as having an EHL.

**EFFICACY OVERVIEW**

Adynovi®

Adynovi® (rurioctocog alfa pegol) is a full-length rFVIII chemically modified with 1-2 per 20 kDa branched polyethylene glycol (PEG) approved by EMA for the treatment and prophylaxis of bleeding in patients with hemophilia A at least 12 years old. Recommended dosage for routine prophylaxis is 40-50 IU/kg twice-weekly (3-4 days interval); mean terminal half-life is 14-16 hours [14]. The safety and efficacy of Adynovi® were evaluated in a multicenter, open-label, phase II/III study conducted in 137 previously treated patients affected by severe
hemophilia A who were aged 12 to 65 years [15]. The prophylactic regimen was administered for 50 or more exposure days or six months. Of these patients, 120 were treated in prophylaxis (45 IU/kg twice-weekly) and 17 were treated on-demand (10-60 IU/kg). The median (Q1; Q3) annualized bleeding rate (ABR) estimated from a negative binomial model in patients treated in prophylaxis was 1.9 (0.0; 5.8) and 39.6% of compliant subjects had no bleeding episodes. No unexpected adverse events emerged in the study and there was no evidence of development of inhibitors in any patient.

Elocta®

Elocta® (efmoroctocog alfa) is a B domain deleted (BDD) rFVIII Fe fusion protein (rFVIII:Fc) approved for the treatment and prevention of bleeding in patients of all ages with haemophilia A. Forecasted dosing schedules in prophylaxis are 50 IU/kg every 3-5 days with the possibility to adjust the dose on the basis of patient’s response in the interval 25-65 IU/kg; mean terminal half-life is 19 hours [14].

The safety, efficacy and pharmacokinetics of Elocta® were evaluated in a multicenter, open-label, partially randomized phase III study conducted in 165 previously treated patients aged ≥12 years affected by severe hemophilia A [16]. The study had 3 treatment arms: individualized prophylaxis (25-65 IU/kg every 3-5 days, n=118), weekly prophylaxis (65 IU/kg/week, n=24) and episodic treatment of acute bleeding (10-50 IU/kg, n=23). The median duration of treatment ranged between 28 and 32.1 weeks, depending on the arm of treatment.

The median (95% CI) ABRs estimated from a negative binomial regression model were 2.9 (2.3-3.7) for patients in the individualized prophylaxis arm and 8.9 (5.5-14.5) for those in the weekly prophylaxis arm. The median (IQR) observed ABRs were 1.6 (0.0-4.7) for patients in the individualized prophylaxis arm and 3.6 (1.9-8.4) for those in the weekly prophylaxis arm. No bleeding episodes were experienced in 45.3% and 17.4% of subjects in the individualized prophylaxis arm and in the weekly prophylaxis arm, respectively.

Adverse events were consistent with those expected in this population; no subjects developed inhibitors.

Esperoct®

Esperoct® (turoctocog alfa pegol) is a rFVIII featuring a 40 kDa PEG conjugated to a B domain truncated (BDT) FVIII via site-direct glycoPEGylation, approved by EMA for the treatment and prophylaxis of bleeding episodes in adolescent (≥12 years) and adult patients with hemophilia A. Starting dose is 50 IU/kg every 4 days; adjustments of doses and administration intervals may be considered based on achieved factor VIII levels and individual bleeding tendency, but the maximum single dose must not exceed 75 IU/kg. Mean terminal half-life is 18.4 hours [17].

The safety, pharmacokinetics and clinical efficacy of Esperoct® were evaluated in a phase III, multinational, open-label, non-randomized trial conducted in 186 previously treated patients (n=175 in the prophylaxis arm and n=12 in the on-demand arm) aged ≥12 years with severe hemophilia A [17]. Patients on prophylaxis received one dose of 50 IU/kg of Esperoct® every fourth day (twice-weekly dosing was allowed for patients at the discretion of the investigator). The mean duration in the prophylaxis arm was 299 days.

During the trial, 7 patients in the prophylaxis arm withdrew within the first month of treatment; an ABR of 24 was imputed for them. Overall, the imputed median (IQR) ABR was 1.33 (0.0-4.6), while the median (IQR) ABR estimated on observed data without imputation was 1.18 (0.0-4.3). Among patients on prophylaxis, the 40% had no bleeding episodes during the trial.

One patient developed inhibitory antibodies against FVIII after 93 exposure days of Esperoct® therapy.

Jivi®

Jivi® (damoctocog alfa pegol) is a BDD rFVIII with site-specific attachment of a single dual-branched 60 KDa PEG, approved by EMA for the treatment and prophylaxis of bleeding in previously treated patients ≥12 years of age with haemophilia A. Recommended schedules in prophylaxis are 45-60 IU/kg every 5 days, 60 IU/kg every 7 days and 30-40 IU/kg twice a week; mean terminal half-life is about 19 hours [18].

The safety and efficacy of Jivi® were evaluated in a phase II/III multicenter, partially randomized, open-label, 36-week trial conducted in 134 previously treated patients aged 12-65 years affected by severe hemophilia A [18]. Twenty patients received on-demand therapy and 114 patients entered the prophylaxis arm of the study. During an initial run-in
period of 10 weeks, all patients in prophylaxis were treated at a dosage of 25 IU/kg twice a week. Based on the number of bleedings experienced during the run-in period, patients were then assigned to different prophylaxis arms: 86 patients who experienced a maximum of 1 bleeding were randomized 1:1 to receive 45-60 IU/kg every 5 days (n=43) or 60 IU/kg every 7 days (n=43); 13 patients stayed in the twice-weekly prophylaxis arm and received 30-40 IU/kg because of >1 bleed during the run-in period (two times per week, not eligible for randomization); 11 patients stayed in the twice-weekly prophylaxis arm and received 30-40 IU/kg because the randomization arms were full (two times per week, eligible but not randomized).

During the subsequent study weeks 11-36, the median (Q1; Q3) observed ABR for patients treated twice-weekly not eligible for randomization (n=13) improved after dose increase from 17.4 (14.3; 26.0) to 4.1 (2.0; 10.6). Median (Q1; Q3) ABR for patients randomized to every 5 days treatment (n=43) was 1.9 (0.0; 4.2), similar to patients eligible for the randomization but continuing the twice-weekly treatment (n=11). Median (Q1; Q3) ABR for patients randomized to every 7 days treatment who completed the study without modify their starting dosing frequency (n=32/43) was 0.96 (0.0; 4.3). A median ABR of 2.1 bleeds was reported in the 110 patients in the entire prophylaxis arm. No bleeding episodes were experienced in 44.2% and 50.0% of subjects in the every 5 days and every 7 days treatment arm, respectively. No evidence of development of FVIII inhibitors emerged during the study.

Patients who completed the previous study were offered to continue the treatment with Jivi® in an optional extension study. Treatment regimen options were the same as in the main study; patients could either continue their previous regimen or change to another prophylaxis regimen, as needed.

Interim data (data cut-off January 2018) from 107 patients who entered the extension study receiving prophylaxis treatment indicated that bleed control was well maintained for up to >5 years. ABR varied according to the prescribed prophylaxis regimen: patients who remained in the every-7-days group reported a median (Q1; Q3) ABR of 0.7 (0; 1.6), while in patients who changed regimen (variable frequency group) the median (Q1; Q3) ABR was 3.1 (1.2; 6.2). If we consider the total prophylaxis arm, the median (Q1; Q3) ABR was 1.6 (0.3; 4.6). Among patients treated on prophylaxis, the 20.6% experienced zero bleedings during the entire extension study and the 51.0% had no bleedings in the last six months [19].

**DRUG CONSUMPTION ANALYSIS**

Based on the approved dosing regimens, it is possible to estimate the minimum and maximum number of administrations per year and the annual consumption with the marketed EHL rFVIII products (Table 1) [20-23].

As reported in Table I, with respect to Adynovi® and Elocta®, Jivi® is the drug associated with the lowest number of administrations per year (52-104 vs 104 of Adynovi® and 73-122 of Elocta®). Compared to Esperoct®, instead, Jivi® is associated to a slightly higher maximum number of administrations per year (104 vs 91). However, in the pivotal trial, the majority of patients completed the study being treated every 5-7 days (75.5% vs 24.5% of patients being

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>Posology in SPC (prophylaxis)</th>
<th>Dosage in SPC (Iu/kg)</th>
<th>Annual administrations (n)</th>
<th>Annual consumption (Iu/kg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Min</td>
<td>Mean¹</td>
<td>Max</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adynovi® (ruriocotocog alfa pegol)</td>
<td>40-50 IU/kg twice a week</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elocta® (efmorocotocog alfa)</td>
<td>25-65 IU/kg every 3-5 days</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Esperoct® (turoctocog alfa pegol)</td>
<td>50 IU/kg every 4 days</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jivi® (damoctocog alfa pegol)</td>
<td>45-60 IU/kg every 5 days</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>60 IU/kg every 7 days</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30-40 IU/kg twice a week</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table I. Dosages, number of administrations and annual consumption based on European approved SPCs [20-23]

¹ Mean values are estimates as average between min and max
treated twice-weekly), supporting the concrete possibility to reduce the frequency of infusion. As previously shown, the prolonged dosing interval for the prophylactic treatment allowed for JiVi® can contribute to improve the compliance to treatment and QoL of patients.

In addition, from the analysis of the annual consumption, JiVi® has an annual mean consumption per kg lower than Adynovi® (4,680 IU/kg), Elocta® (4,878 IU/kg) and Esperoct® (4,550 IU/kg). The contemporary reduction of number of injections per year and the lower annual mean consumption represent an important benefit for the patient.

The advantage in term of annual drug consumption of JiVi® appears also clearer in Figure 1, where the average annual consumption for a 70 kg patient for each EHL rFVIII product is reported.

Jivi® allows a reduction in average annual drug consumption per patient of -27% vs Elocta®, -24% vs Adynovi® and -22% vs Esperoct®, the difference is much wider if also SHL rFVIII products are considered (e.g. -28% versus Afstyla®), enabling possible economic savings for the National Health Service (NHS) (Figure 2).

Additionally, among products that have been approved with a dose range (therefore all excluded Esperoct®), JiVi® is the only one to provide regimens with a unique narrow range of variability between minimum and maximum rFVIII consumption, enabling health authorities to make a more precise expenditure forecasting compared with all others SHL and EHL rFVIII products.

On the basis of the approved dosing regimens, in fact, the maximum variability allowed for JiVi® versus mean value in terms of consumption per patient per year is ±14%, for Elocta® it is ±62.5%, for Adynovi® ±11% (but with an expected average consumption of about 80,000 IU/year/patient higher than JiVi®) and for Esperoct® 0 (but with an average consumption of about 70,000 IU/year/patient higher than JiVi®).

The abovementioned analysis and considerations need to be further evaluated in real-life condition according to current clinical practice.

PATIENTS’ REPORTED OUTCOMES AND QUALITY OF LIFE

Jivi®

EHL rFVIII products such as JiVi® offer the potential for increased protection against bleeds while requiring less frequent infusions and IU consumption. A recent analysis aimed to evaluate patients reported outcomes regarding the benefit of the treatment with an EHL rFVIII therapy with JiVi® [24,25].

Adult patients participating in the PROTECT VIII extension study were invited to take part in the study, based on telephone interviews using a semi-structured interview guide. Most relevant topics investigated included treatment-related issues and impact on health-related

![Figure 1. EHL rFVIII products: average annual drug consumption (IU, for a 70 kg patient)](image)

*Average weighted consumption is calculated on the basis of patients treated with each approved dosage regimen in clinical trials.*
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All participants had been receiving Jivi® for at least one year prior to their interview. Reduced frequency of treatment administration was one of the most frequently reported drivers (67%) of treatment satisfaction among patients with haemophilia A, alongside efficacy. Less frequent infusions were also associated with benefits in terms of HRQoL (time taken to schedule and administer infusions, emotional benefits, physical benefits, consistency of factor coverage, participation in activities, and adherence), confirming the results of the survey previously presented. Details of patients' reported benefits highlighted in Wells et al analysis are detailed in Figure 3.

Jivi® provides patients extended dosing intervals with longer protection, improving confidence in treatment and reducing impact on activities of daily living.

Esperoct® One study has also been published recently regarding health related quality of life (HRQoL) and treatment satisfaction of patients with severe hemophilia A from two phase III trials evaluating the safety and efficacy of Esperoct® in pediatric, adolescent and adult patients with severe hemophilia A [26]. HRQoL was measured through the Haemo-QoL questionnaire in patients aged 4-16 years and through the Haem-A-QoL in those who were ≥17 years. Treatment satisfaction was assessed by using the Hemo-Sat. At starting of the trials all patients reported a good overall HRQoL, but a little margin for improvement remains for any group; in fact an improvement in “Physical Health” and in overall hemophilia-specific HRQoL was observed in all age classes treated with Esperoct® in prophylaxis regimens while adults reported improvements also in school/work activities. Similarly, high level for treatment satisfaction were reported for both children and adults at baseline and an improvement was recorded at the end of the observation indicating a comparable or higher level of satisfaction with Esperoct® treatment. Treatment satisfaction resulted comparable between patients receiving the on-demand treatment and those in the prophylaxis arm.

Elocta® The recent prospective, qualitative cohort study HOPE was developed to describe the expectations of patients with hemophilia (A and B) regarding the use in prophylaxis of EHL rFVIII products and if these expectations were realized using a follow-up analysis [27]. The study was conducted through two semi-structured interviews to 25 patients (23 with hemophilia A).

The results highlight that patients are intended to continue the treatment with EHL products and that the reduced frequency of administrations is perceived as a positive factor that decrease the impact of hemophilia on their lives. Even patients who did not reduce the frequency of administration perceived positive aspect of EHL treatment as a higher protection level and a lower number of bleeding occurred.

**Economic Considerations**

At the moment of the preparation of the manuscript, all the above mentioned EHL rFVIII products are reimbursed by Italian NHS except Esperoct®. Considering their prices published on the Italian Official Journal, the differences in expenditure are reported in Figure 4.

At the prices published in Italian Official Journal Jivi® (0.70 €/IU ex-factory [28]) weighed average annual expenditure per patient is 26% lower than Adynovi® (0.72 €/IU ex-factory [29]) and 29% lower than Elocta® (0.72 €/IU ex-factory [30]). Considering that hidden discounts have been negotiated with Italian Medicines Agency (AIFA) for all the EHL products, a sensitivity analysis was conducted; this analysis, for any...
QoL (HRQoL). All participants had been receiving Jivi® for at least one year prior to their interview.

Reduced frequency of treatment administration was one of the most frequently reported drivers (67%) of treatment satisfaction among patients with haemophilia A, alongside efficacy. Less frequent infusions were also associated with benefits in terms of HRQoL (time taken to schedule and administer infusions, emotional benefits, physical benefits, consistency of factor coverage, participation in activities, and adherence), confirming the results of the survey previously presented. Details of patients’ reported benefits highlighted in Wells et al analysis are detailed in Figure 3.

Jivi® provides patients extended dosing intervals with longer protection, improving confidence in treatment and reducing impact on activities of daily living.

**Esperoct®**

One study has also been published recently regarding health related quality of life (HRQoL) and treatment satisfaction of patients with severe hemophilia A from two phase III trials evaluating the safety and efficacy of Esperoct® in pediatric, adolescent and adult patients with severe hemophilia A [26]. HRQoL was measured through the Haemo-QoL questionnaire in patients aged 4-16 years and through the Haem-A-QoL in those who were ≥17 years. Treatment satisfaction was assessed by using the Hemo-Sat. At starting of the trials all patients reported a good overall HRQoL, but a little margin for improvement remains for any group; in fact an improvement in “Physical Health” and in overall hemophilia-specific HRQoL was observed in all age classes treated with Esperoct® in prophylaxis regimens while adults reported improvements also in school/work activities. Similarly, high level for treatment satisfaction were reported for both children and adults at baseline and an improvement was recorded at the end of the observation indicating a comparable or higher level of satisfaction with Esperoct® treatment. Treatment satisfaction resulted comparable between patients receiving the on-demand treatment and those in the prophylaxis arm.

**Elocta®**

The recent prospective, qualitative cohort study HOPE was developed to describe the expectations of patients with hemophilia (A and B) regarding the use in prophylaxis of EHL rFVIII products and if these expectations were realized using a follow-up analysis [27]. The study was conducted through two semi-structured interviews to 25 patients (23 with hemophilia A).

The results highlight that patients are intended to continue the treatment with EHL products and that the reduced frequency of administrations is perceived as a positive factor that decrease the impact of hemophilia on their lives. Even patients who did not reduce the frequency of administration perceived positive aspect of EHL treatment as a higher protection level and a lower number of bleeding occurred.

**ECONOMIC CONSIDERATIONS**

At the moment of the preparation of the manuscript, all the above mentioned EHL rFVIII products are reimbursed by Italian NHS except Esperoct®. Considering their prices published on the Italian Official Journal, the differences in expenditure are reported in Figure 4.

At the prices published in Italian Official Journal Jivi® (0.70 €/IU ex-factory [28]) weighted average annual expenditure per patient is 26% lower than Adynovit® (0.72 €/IU ex-factory [29]) and 29% lower than Elocta® (0.72 €/IU ex-factory [30]).

Considering that hidden discounts have been negotiated with Italian Medicines Agency (AIFA) for all the EHL products, a sensitivity analysis was conducted; this analysis, for any
level of parity price per IU, results in a weighted average annual expenditure per patient for Jivi® 24% lower than Adynovi® and 27% lower than Elocta®.

Even considering a 10% increase in Jivi®’s price (per IU) versus the same per IU price for Adynovi® and Elocta®, the per patient annual expenditure for Jivi® remains 16% lower than Adynovi® and 20% lower than Elocta®.

About Esperoct®, as the average per patient annual consumption is higher than Jivi®'s one, if we consider a possible per IU reimbursed price aligned with Jivi®’s one, it would result in a lower annual per patient cost for Jivi®.

As above mentioned, the foreseen savings related to the use of Jivi® are due to the reduced consumption of drug and reduced frequency of administrations.

CONCLUSIONS

Novel EHL rFVIII products, being associated with a reduced number of administrations and a good risk-benefit profile, seem to have the potential to change treatment patterns of patients with severe hemophilia A also favoring the compliance to prophylaxis regimen.

Moreover, these new drugs cover a clinical unmet need in patients with a QoL affected by frequency of administrations and related impact on daily life, as already demonstrated in the analysis that evaluates reported outcomes for patients treated with Jivi® and HRQoL in patients treated with Esperoct®.

Among the EHL rFVIII concentrates, only Jivi®, based on the clinical trial results, got in the label the indication of one administration every 7 days. Jivi® appears to be more suitable to cover patients’ needs due to the possibility to adopt different dosage regimens, which allow to obtain a personalized treatment pattern. Besides, Jivi® is associated with the lowest average annual consumption per patient addressing the Health Authorities’ needs, allowing a more predictable budget forecast and overall reducing the NHS expenditure.
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