Cost of illness of community-acquired pneumonia. Review of the literature and possible strategies in the Serbian health care setting
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ABSTRACT
Community-acquired pneumonia (CAP) represents a potentially severe illness with high incidence and significant economic impact. The estimated incidence varies from 1.6 to 13.4 cases/1000 inhabitants per year. Its burden of disease is attributed to high morbidity, mortality and serious health care utilization and expenditure throughout the world. The identification of determinants of high treatment costs could help in defining strategies for their reduction and more efficient use of the existing resources. In this article, a review of the existing literature about CAP cost-of-illness is provided, together with some considerations about possible strategies to decrease CAP costs in the Serbian health care setting. Available reports from cost-of-illness trials of CAP are relatively scarce. Most of them highlight the high costs generated by treatment protocols, with important differences between inpatients and outpatients. The inpatient cases of CAP varies from 18 to 60%. The therapy represents 10 to 15% of the overall costs of CAP. The costs of CAP treatment among inpatients are 7.9 times higher than those in outpatients. In case of complications and prolonged length of stay, this difference could even be 17 to 51 times higher. Frequent hospital admissions could be avoided, which would reduce the costs of CAP treatment. An important precondition for successful cost containment would be higher adherence to clinical guidelines, particularly reflected through Pneumonia Severity Index-a (PSI) application. Thus, it would be possible to significantly reduce the length of stay in hospital, in majority of patients, without jeopardizing their health or influencing the clinical course of illness.
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COST OF ILLNESS: ANALYSIS APPROACH
The awareness about health service resources limitation is increasing in medical circles throughout the world, and the number of studies dedicated to the use of these resources, often related to particular diseases, is increasing during recent years. Past few decades of dynamic development of health economics worldwide have brought new methodological approaches to medical care costs assessment. Cost-of-illness analysis is based on descriptive assessment of “real world” cost matrix associated with particular health conditions. These trials can be planned either as prospective or retrospective. Essentially, they mostly provide an in-depth follow up of patients suffering from certain disorder. Thus we get insight into both direct medical costs of care and indirect ones (e.g., productivity loss). Depending on perspective chosen (e.g., citizen, third party payer or society) most trials face difficulties to encompass all disease-related costs. In the attempt to resolve these methodological issues, cost analysis alongside clinical trials on efficiency have become the most widely exploited study design providing detailed picture on individual patient’s services consumption and expenditure. This review aims at providing comprehensive comparison of up-to-date knowledge on community-acquired pneumonia economic consequences worldwide.

COMMUNITY-ACQUIRED PNEUMONIA
Community-acquired pneumonia (CAP) is defined as potentially severe disease with high incidence and significant economic
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Impact. It is commonly defined as an acute infection of the lung parenchyma that is associated with at least some symptoms of acute infection, accompanied by the presence of an acute infiltrate on a chest radiograph or auscultatory findings consistent with pneumonia (such as altered breathing sounds and/or localized rales), in a patient not hospitalized or residing in a long-term-care facility for >14 days before onset of symptoms. The most common causative agents for CAP include *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, *Mycoplasma pneumoniae*, *Chlamydia pneumoniae*, *Haemophilus influenzae*, viruses and other agents. Widespread misuse of antibiotics leads to an emerging antimicrobial resistance, especially to penicillines, but also to macrolides and quinolones [1].

The estimated incidence of this disease varies from 1.6 to 13.4 cases per 1000 inhabitants per year. In the United States, pneumonia is considered to be the sixth most common cause of death. In the period from 1979 to 1994, the overall rates of death due to pneumonia and influenza increased by 59% (on the basis of ICD-9 classification of mortality data from death certificates) in the United States [2]. Much of this increase is due to a greater proportion of persons aged >65 years; however, age-adjusted rates also increased by 22%, which suggests that other factors may have contributed to a changing epidemiology of pneumonia, including a greater proportion of the population with underlying medical conditions at increased risk of respiratory infection [3].

In Europe, the incidence of CAP is known only in small areas of three countries (Spain, UK, and Finland) and in a more representative sample of the entire country in Italy. However, from the available data, it is noticeable that a gradient of incidence from north to south is present in Europe, from 11 per 1000 in Finland [4] down to 1.6 per 1000 in Spain [5] and Italy [6]. It is interesting to note that in Italy the same north-south gradient is present among the different areas of the same country. Moreover, the incidence of pneumonia increases with age with very consistent data in all countries. Estimations of the incidence, based on the reported data in the community, suggest a frequency of 1-5/1000 inhabitants per year, although there are considerable variations in the estimation of incidence of this disease [6]. Identification of the true CAP incidence is difficult because the condition is not easy to define without access to a chest radiograph. Therefore, many patients are being diagnosed and treated without radiographic confirmation. Very common mistakes happen, when other pulmonary diseases are being considered as CAP, unless the disease is being followed to its final outcome. CAP encompasses a range of illness severity from very mild to life-threatening. Most cases are mild and managed in the community, where chest radiographs may not be easily available. This disease is more frequent in the under 5 years of age group and least common in persons aged 15-29 [7]. From the mid fourties, the frequency rises again until it becomes very common in the elderly.

Precise figures on the health care and economic burden are hard to collect. This happens because these data are related to the incidence of the condition, which is incompletely known, and the way in which the condition interfaces with the health care system, which differs from country to country.

Prospective studies suggest that mortality rates vary from 1-5% for patients managed at home, up to 15.8% for patients admitted to hospital and even up to 50% for patients admitted to the Intensive Care Unit (ICU) [5,8-13].

The burden of disease for CAP is being attributed to high morbidity, mortality and serious health care services utilization and expenditure throughout the world. Community-acquired pneumonia appears more frequently in elderly patients with comorbidities, which in the circumstances of progressively ageing population, leads to longer average length of stay in hospital and increased costs of treatment and medical care. Ageing population and increased life expectancy in patients with chronic diseases and emerging antimicrobial resistance lead to complicated cases of community-acquired pneumonia, which means that in the future the burden and costs of CAP are going to increase.

The main direct costs of illness are generated through patient’s hospitalization, and they may be even up to 90% of overall costs related to CAP. The costs of stay in hospital, antibiotic and oxygen therapy are the most important components of direct costs. These components are influenced greatly by the initial severity of the disease and the course of illness during hospitalization. Comorbidities and complications during treatment have great impact on the overall cost of illness.

Indirect costs of CAP comprise the lost of working days or patient’s inability to conduct regular activities, and since CAP is a potentially fatal disease, potential lost of life years. Intangible costs could also be taken into account, even though they are considered less important, since CAP is an acute illness. They comprise the loss of self care capability, which is especially notable in older population. The identification of determinants of high treatment costs could help in defining strat-
EGIES OF THEIR REDUCTION AND MORE EFFICIENT UTILISATION OF THE EXISTING RESOURCES.

SELECTION OF PUBLISHED EVIDENCE ON CAP COSTS

The available reports on pharmacoeconomic surveys of CAP prevention, diagnosis and treatment published worldwide are relatively scarce, but still very indicative. They all point out the high costs resulting from the treatment of this disease, as well as significant differences between costs for inpatients and outpatients treatment. According to Guest and Morris’ report, published in 1997 [8], the British National Health Service (NHS) spent £ 1,364.3 million for treatment of 16.3 million episodes of community-acquired lower respiratory tract infections, out of which 32% (£ 440.7 million) were spent for CAP treatment. This amount (£ 440.7 million) was spent treating 261,000 annual episodes of CAP; 32% of these episodes were inpatient stays, which accounted for 96% of the total direct costs of CAP and 87% of total annual costs. Costs for outpatients (corresponding to 68% of total CAP cases) accounted for only 4% of total annual costs. This analysis shows that the average cost of managing pneumonia in the UK community is £ 100 per episode, while the cost of inpatient treatment varies from £1.700 to £ 5,100 per episode, depending on the length of hospitalization and complications. All estimated costs were calculated according to prices from 1992/1993. According to prices from 1995/1996, the costs have already been uprated from £ 440.7 to £ 480.4 million.

In 1998 Niederman and colleagues [14] published a retrospective study, which showed that an average cost of CAP treatment in inpatients in the USA is US$ 7166, for patients aged ≥ 65, with an average length of stay of 7.8 days. For patients aged less than 65, average cost of treatment is US$6042, and average length of stay is 5.8 days. The Authors calculated a total cost of US$ 8.4 billion (US$ 4.8 billion for treatment of CAP patients aged ≥ 65, US$ 3.6 billion for treatment of patients aged less then 65). Respectively, 95% (for patients aged ≥ 65) and 81% (for patients aged < 65) of all CAP treatment costs are generated from inpatient treatment.

Orrick and colleagues [15] reported results from their pharmacoeconomic study in 2004, with 99 CAP inpatients enrolled, treated in USA hospitals. According to the results, mean cost of hospitalization per admission was US$ 3,490 ± 3058, with hospital room/board accounting for largest percentage (83.7%), followed by laboratory (8.1%), antibacterial (4.6%), radiology (2.6%) and respiratory support cost (0.9%). The group treated according to current guidelines of the Infectious Diseases Society of America (1998) had a shorter mean length of hospital stay (4.5 days) and a lower total cost of hospitalization (US$3009 ± 2682), and lower antibacterial costs (US$117 ± 79), compared to group of patients which were not treated according to the guidelines (mean length of hospitalization was 6.8 days, mean hospitalization costs US$4992 ± 3686, antibacterial therapy US$301 ± 409).

Bartolome and colleagues [9] reported results from population-based study which was conducted in Spain in 2004. According to these results, the mean direct cost of CAP treatment in the hospital setting was €1553 ± 542, and the cost structure was dominated by hospitalization, which accounts for 84.4% of all costs. The cost of treating the same disease for outpatients was €196 ± 86. Further analysis of the obtained results and possible cost reductions pointed out that 15.7% of hospital admissions were estimated as inadequate/unnecessary, and that these patients could have been treated completely as outpatients, while the mean length of stay (10.8±6.2 days) could have been reduced by 3.5 days, in the most severe cases. The reduction of number of inadequate hospitalizations and length of stay in hospital would lead to overall cost reduction of 17.4%. In conclusion of their analysis, the Authors stated that 22% of patients could have been discharged from the hospital setting during the first 3 days from admission, and short hospitalizations followed by extension of treatment on other health care system levels (primary health care, ambulatory, home care) should be strongly encouraged.

In the same year (2004), Colice and colleagues [10] published a survey in which they calculated the rate of hospitalization in a sample of 7249 episodes of community-acquired pneumonia recorded in 6415 employed patients. The calculated hospitalization rate was 19.6%, and the mortality rate in hospitalized patients was 9.1%. The mean value of CAP episode treatment cost (including the inpatient and eventual previous ambulatory treatment costs) was US$10,227 ± 15,342, while the mean value of treatment for outpatients was US$466 ± 1038. These costs were significantly higher than the previous estimates. Total annual cost of CAP treatment in the USA is estimated to 12.2 billion US$. Bauer and colleagues [16] analyzed costs related to CAP from the hospital perspective. The results of their study were published in 2005 and comprised data from 22 hospitals in Germany, with 580 patients treated with stan-
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In this study, the authors analyzed cost determinants for inpatient CAP treatment in Spain. The study involved 271 patients, hospitalized due to community-acquired pneumonia. According to available data and calculations, mean value of treatment costs per patient was €1,683 (€1,291-2,471), while the costs of hospital stay dominated in the total cost structure (€1,286 (€857-1,714)), followed by the costs of laboratory analyses (€212 (€171-272)), therapy (€187 (€114-304)) and diagnostic procedures (€58 (€29-122)). Complications occurrence and higher PSI (Pneumonia Severity Index) score were related to increased costs, while the age and comorbidities were not. In conclusion, complications – especially hypoaalbuminemia and previous hospitalization – were main determinants of high direct costs of CAP hospitalization.

Available data indicate that the percentage of CAP patients treated in hospital setting varies from 18 to 60% [9]. Numerous and various factors have influence on this, such as: age, comorbidities, hospital availability, social reasons, lack of trust in patient’s compliance, and other subjective criteria with crucial impact in the moment of decision making whether the patient will be hospitalized or not. Clinicians tend to hospital admission whenever there is doubt about positive outcome of outpatient treatment [17].

The results of the study also indicate that shorter course hospitalizations did not increase mortality rate after hospital discharge or hospital re-admission rate, but there is no unique opinion regarding the optimal length of stay in hospital for CAP patients [18]. Average duration of antibiotic therapy in outpatient patients should be 8 to 10 days, for low risk CAP patients [19], but most frequent literature data suggest 14-days antibiotic treatment [9]. According to some studies, intravenous antibiotic treatment lasted 6 to 7 days [9,20]. However, intravenous antibiotic treatment of 2 to 3 days could be equally effective and could lead to reduced length of stay in hospital and reduced expenses in low risk patients [20]. According to data from the literature, time period from clinical resolution to return to normal working activities was even up to 22 to 25 days [9,21], which is attributed to persistence of some symptoms such as fatigue, patient’s age but also waiting time until complete radiographic resolution.

In total cost structure, therapy comprises 10 to 15% of total CAP treatment costs [9-11,16,18]. According to Reyes and colleagues [11], 79% corresponds to antibiotic therapy costs, whereas this percentage varies depending on the type of antibiotic used, severity of disease and estimated complications that could occur. Bauer and colleagues [16] suggested that the use of more expensive antibiotics such as fluoroquinolones may be associated with shorter length of hospitalization and does not induce changes in the total expenditure.

It is interesting to compare the differences in the costs of inpatient and outpatient (ambulatory) treatment of community-acquired pneumonia. Bartolome and colleagues [9] indicate that the expenses of inpatient CAP treatment are 7.9 times higher than those of outpatient treatment, and in case of complications and extended hospitalization, this difference can be even 17 to 51 times higher [8,22,23]. Total costs of CAP treatment in the USA (including indirect ones) are estimated to reach US$12.2 billion, out of which US$10.3 billion count for treatment in the hospital setting (one million of hospitalizations x US$10,233, which represents the average cost per patient), and US$1.9 billion count for the cost of outpatient treatment (4.1 million cases x US$466, which is the average cost per ambulatory treated patient with CAP) [10].

The variability of direct costs is mostly influenced by the length of hospitalization. The authors agree that the significant number of CAP episodes can be treated ambulatory, and the great number of hospitalizations could be avoided in that way [9], which could lead to reduction of costs attributed to hospitalization and hospital stay. Strict guidelines compliance would be a significant step in cost reduction, primarily in terms of Pneumonia Severity Index, which enables the stratification of patients in risk categories at the admission.
sion and facilitates decision making process regarding the place of treatment and therapy which is to be initiated. Results of recent studies indicate that it is possible to shorten the length of hospital treatment without jeopardizing patient’s health or influencing the outcome of the disease. Capelastegui and colleagues indicate that the average length of inpatient treatment was reduced from 5.6 days in 2000 and 2001 to 3.7 days in 2006 and 2007 [24]. The important data is that 22% of patients could be discharged to home treatment (outpatients) during the first three days from the admission and short hospitalizations followed by further treatment and extended care at other health care levels should be seriously concerned (primary health care, home care) [9]. The most significant costs are made during the first 2 or 3 days of inpatient treatment, and afterwards they are followed by cost decrease [18].

Table I summarizes the results of the main studies on CAP cost of illness.

COMMUNITY-ACQUIRED PNEUMONIA: FUTURE COST CONTAINMENT STRATEGIES

There is a certain peculiarity of Serbian health care setting with regards to respiratory diseases. Serbia is a small post-socialist transitional market with heavy burden of centrally planned economy in the past. Even today it reflects to the patterns of health care funding and management [25]. It should not be forgotten that tobacco addiction is widely spread in this Country, with rates that are much higher than OECD average, and this of course affects CAP incidence [26]. Besides, it is one of seldom European societies reporting increase in pulmonary carcinoma incidence [27]. Official statistics for CAP incidence and mortality in Serbia are missing, so we can base our estimations on scarce CAP incidence data reported for Mediterranean countries with similar prevailing lifestyle and underlying behavioral risks (Italy, Spain) [5,6]. Serbian budget allocation for health care funding out of gross domestic product available is estimated equal to 9.9% and some US$ 419 per person per year, according to available and official WHO statistics for 2009 [7]. Findings of the few local cost of illness estimates among major diseases (type 2 diabetes mellitus, addiction disorders, cancer, risky pregnancies) [28-31], imply that out-of-pocket citizen’s expenditure for medical care heavily overweights governmental subventions. CAP is no exception. Based on the experiences from high income economies, we estimate that CAP budget impact in Serbia will grow further in coming years. Reasons for this trend are both the raising health care expenditure and the higher affordability of services which are likely to affect consumer demand for quality medical care among ordinary citizens [25].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of illness</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Study type</th>
<th>Results</th>
<th>Costs</th>
<th>Author/year [ref]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Community-acquired lower respiratory tract infections</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>Prevalence based burden-of-illness analysis</td>
<td>Total direct outpatient/inpatient medical costs per episode</td>
<td>£100/£1,700-5,100</td>
<td>Guest et al, 1997 [8]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAP</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Retrospective costs- to-the Health care system analysis</td>
<td>Average cost of treatment in inpatients for patients aged ≥ 65/&lt; 65</td>
<td>US$ 7166/$ 6042</td>
<td>Niederman et al, 1998 [14]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAP</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Multicentre observational study</td>
<td>Mean cost of hospitalization per admission</td>
<td>US$ 3,490 ± 3,058</td>
<td>Orrick et al, 2004 [15]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAP</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>Prospective cost analysis study</td>
<td>Mean direct inpatient/outpatient cost of treatment</td>
<td>€1,553 ± 542/€196 ± 86</td>
<td>Bartolome et al, 2004 [9]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAP</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Retrospective cost-of-illness study</td>
<td>Mean direct inpatient/outpatient cost of treatment</td>
<td>US$ 10,227 ± 15,342/US$ 466 ± 1,038</td>
<td>Colice et al, 2004 [10]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAP</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>Retrospective cost analysis study</td>
<td>Mean direct inpatient cost of treatment with standard/non-standard therapy</td>
<td>US$ 1,250/US$ 1,409</td>
<td>Bauer et al, 2005 [16]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAP</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>Prospective observational cost analysis study</td>
<td>Mean direct inpatient cost of treatment</td>
<td>€1,683 (€1,291-2,471)</td>
<td>Reyes et al, 2004 [11]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table I. Main cost-of-illness trials on CAP
There are several strategies which can possibly contain outpatient pneumonia costs. First of all, it is necessary to highlight the importance of vaccination, especially in the vulnerable population, implementing prophylaxis and pneumococcal and flu vaccination programs [8]. Furthermore, it is important to develop strategies to prevent and minimize hospitalization, by adhering to the clinical admission guidelines [8] (reducing the number of inpatients and reducing the length of hospital stay), with more effective out of hospital management. The early justified application of antibiotic therapy and the early transfer from intravenous to oral therapy, as well as prevention of complications during the hospitalization are very important [11].

It is certain that such interventions could justify themselves in the Serbian national health sector. Here outsourcing net savings would contribute substantially to resolve ongoing health care funding difficulties [25]. Published data on similar studies conducted in the Balkans examining CAP treatment cost within local clinical setting are missing. Also, there are no data on the degree of initially assessed illness severity, length of hospitalization and the occurrence of complications that prolong the hospitalization, increasing the treatment costs and disease mortality rate. Due to the current working environment in health care institutions, in conditions of permanent restriction imposed by the Republic Health Insurance Fund, rational use of health resources has an increasing importance. The long-term problem of health care sector management remains how to provide optimal quantity and quality of population health care by means of limited funds and resources.
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